1	SHARTSIS FRIESE LLP JAMES P. MARTIN (Bar #170044)			
2	GREGG S. FARANO (Bar #221505) One Maritime Plaza, Eighteenth Floor			
3	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 421-6500			
4	Facsimile: (415) 421-2922			
5	Email: jmartin@sflaw.com			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff ARTIS CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC.,	a		
7	California limited liability company			
8	John B. Sullivan, Esq. (Bar # 96742) SEVERSON & WERSON			
9	Twenty-Sixth Floor 1 Embarcadero Center			
10	San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 398-3344			
11	Facsimile: (415) 956-6439 Email: jbs@severson.com			
12	Alan L. Barry, Esq. (pro hac vice)			
13	Natalie A. Remien, Esq. (<i>pro hac vice</i>) BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC			
14	70 West Madison Street, Suite 3100 Chicago, Illinois 60602			
15	Telephone: (312) 372-1121 Facsimile: (312) 827-8000			
16	Email: abarry@bellboyd.com			
17	Attorneys for Defendant ARTISAN PARTNERS LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a Delaware limited partnership			
18	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT		
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
20	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
21	ARTIS CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC, a California limited liability company,	Case No. C 06 1663		
22	, I , J	JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT		
23	Plaintiff,	CONFERENCE STATEMENT AND [PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT		
24	V.	ORDER		
25	ARTISAN PARTNERS LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a Delaware limited partnership,	Complaint Filed: March 2, 2006 Judge: District Judge William Alsup		
26	1			
27	Defendant.			
28				

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The parties submit the following in support of their Joint Case Management Conference Statement and Proposed Order.

(a) **JURISDICTION & VENUE:**

The parties do not dispute jurisdiction as proper under 28 U.S.C. §1331 and §1338, 15 U.S.C. § 1114(1) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202.

(b) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CLAIMS AND DEFENSES:

Artisan Partners Limited Partnership ("Artisan") is the owner of the federally-registered service mark ARTISAN (U.S. Reg. No. 2,003,659). Artisan alleges that Artis Capital Management, LLC ("ACM") has infringed Artisan's rights through the use of "ARTIS" and "ARTIS CAPITAL MANAGEMENT" in connection with ACM's business.

The main issue in this case is whether ACM should be enjoined from any further use of "ARTIS" and "ARTIS CAPITAL MANAGEMENT" in connection with ACM's business.

ACM filed this action seeking declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§2201-02. Artisan filed counterclaims for trademark infringement pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1114, false designation of origin pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1125(a)(1), unfair business practices pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17200, false advertising pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17500, common law unfair competition and common law trademark infringement. Neither party is seeking damages.

Prior to filing this lawsuit, ACM filed a service mark application for ARTISAN CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC (U.S. Serial No. 78/387,768), and Artisan filed a Notice of Opposition with the U.S. Trademark Trial & Appeal Board seeking to prevent such registration (Opp. No. 91168006). The opposition proceeding has been suspended by stipulation of the parties pending the outcome of this litigation.

SUMMARY OF PROCEEDINGS TO DATE: (c)

This case was initially assigned to Magistrate Judge Patricia V. Trumbull. At the request of the parties, Judge Trumbull continued the initial case management conference to allow the parties to engage in settlement negotiations. On November 9, 2006, ACM filed a Declination to Proceed Before a U.S. Magistrate Judge and Request for Reassignment to a United States District 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

28

Judge. The case has not yet settled, although settlement discussions are ongoing.

The parties are in the process of agreeing on a form of Protective Order, and have agreed to a proposed schedule for Initial Disclosures and discovery, as set forth below.

(d) <u>PENDING MOTIONS</u>:

Currently, no motions are pending.

(e) <u>MAJOR MOTIONS EXPECTED BEFORE TRIAL</u>:

The parties expect to file Summary Judgment Motions.

(f) PARTIES TO BE ADDED OR DELETED:

None.

(g) <u>EVIDENTIARY, CLAIM CONSTRUCTION OR CLASS CERTIFICATION</u> EXPECTATIONS:

None.

(h) <u>EXTENT OF COMPLIANCE WITH EVIDENCE-PRESERVATION</u> <u>REQUIREMENTS</u>:

Both parties have received notice of the Court's Supplemental Order to Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference, and have taken all affirmative steps necessary to preserve evidence related to the issues presented by this case, including, without limitation, interdiction of any document-destruction programs and any ongoing erasures of e-mails, voicemails, and other electronically recorded material.

(i) <u>STIPULATED DISCOVERY LIMITS DIFFERENT FROM FEDERAL RULES</u>:

None.

(j) **PROPOSED DEADLINES AND COURT DATES:**

23	Initial Disclosures Completed:	December 15, 2006
24	Fact Discovery Closes:	April 15, 2007
25	Expert Disclosures and Reports Due:	May 1, 2007
26	Rebuttal Expert Disclosures and Reports Due:	June 1, 2007
27	Expert Discovery Closes:	June 30, 2007

Last Date to File Dispositive Motions: May 10, 2007

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

Last Date to Hear Dispositive Motions	June 14, 2007
Trial	August 20, 2007

(k) WHETHER A JURY WAS PROPERLY DEMANDED:

ACM included a jury demand when it filed the Complaint for Declaratory Judgment. Artisan did not include a jury demand when it filed its Answer and Counterclaims. Since only injunctive relief was sought by either party, Artisan does not believe that ACM has a right to a trial by jury, and the parties have agreed to meet and confer on this issue.

(I) WHAT DAMAGES AND OTHER RELIEF ARE SOUGHT:

Only injunctive relief was sought by either party.

(m) $\underline{ADR PLAN}$:

The parties have agreed to mediation as a form of ADR. No specific plans have yet been made for mediation.

(n) <u>CONSENT TO ASSIGNMENT OF THE CASE; ADDITIONAL PARTIES:</u>

This case was initially assigned for trial to Magistrate Judge Trumbull before being reassigned to the Honorable William H. Alsup. ACM does not consent to assignment to a Magistrate Judge.

(o) <u>SERVICE LIST OF ALL COUNSEL</u>:

Attorneys for Plaintiff
ARTIS CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC:

James P. Martin (Bar #170044) Gregg S. Farano (Bar #221505) SHARTSIS FRIESE LLP One Maritime Plaza Eighteenth Floor

San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 421-6500 Facsimile: (415) 421-2922 Email: jmartin@sflaw.com Email: gfarano@sflaw.com

27

Case3:06-cv-01663-WHA Document27 Filed11/15/06 Page5 of 6

	additional and a second
1	
2	Attorneys for Defendant ARTISAN PARTNERS LIMITED PARTNERSHIP:
3	John B. Sullivan, Esq. (SBN 96742) SEVERSON & WERSON
4	Twenty-Sixth Floor 1 Embarcadero Center
5	San Francisco, California 94111 Ph: (415) 398-3344
6	Fax: (415) 956-0439 Email: jbs@severson.com
7	Alan L. Barry, Esq. (pro hac vice)
8 9	Natalie A. Remien, Esq. (pro hac vice) BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC 70 West Madison Street, Suite 3100
10	Chicago, Illinois 60602 Ph: (312) 372-1121
11	Fax: (312) 345-1377 Email: abarry@bellboyd.com
12	Email: nremien@bellboyd.com
13	The parties jointly submit this Joint Case Management Conference Statement and
14	respectfully request that it be adopted by the Court as the Case Management Order for the case.
15	DATED: November 15, 2006 Respectfully submitted,
16	respectivity suchinees,
17	SHARTSIS FRIESE LLP
18	
19	By: <u>/s/ James P. Martin</u> JAMES P. MARTIN
20	Attorneys for Plaintiff
21	ARTIS CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC., a California limited liability company,
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26	
28	
20	4

SHARTSIS FRIESE LLP ONE MARITIME PLAZA EIGHTEENTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 9 4111

1 DATED: November 15, 2006 Respectfully submitted, 2 BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC 3 4 By:/s/ Natalie Remien 5 NATALIE REMIEN 6 Attorneys for Defendant ARTISÁN PARTNERS LIMITED 7 PARTNERSHIP, a Delaware limited partnership 8 9 **CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER** The Case Management Conference Statement and Proposed Order is hereby adopted by 10 the Court as the Case Management Order for the Case, and the parties are ordered to comply with 11 SHARTSIS FRIESE LLP ONE MARITIME PLAZA EIGHTEENTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 9 411 this Order. 12 13 FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, IT IS SO ORDERED. 14 DATE: 15 The Honorable Judge William H. Alsup 16 United States District Judge 6100\015\JMARTIN\1396749.4 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Case No. JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT

AND [PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

C 06 1663

Case3:06-cv-01663-WHA Document27 Filed11/15/06 Page6 of 6